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## ECON 221C MONETARY ECON III

Monetary Economics in Continuous Time.
Saki Bigio

For the first part, please consult any textbook or online reference on the method of characteristics. Try to do questions 1 and 2 quickly. Question 3 will take up some time. If you feel assumptions are missing, fill them in.

## Excercise 1 - PDE Warm Up

Consider a model of human capital, similar to "Experience vs. Obsolescence: A Vintage-HumanCapital Model" by Matthias Kredler. A level of human capital is denoted by $h \in[0, \bar{h}]$. Human capital depreciates $\lambda$ every unit of time. For example, absent human capital accumulation, human capital $h(t+\Delta)=h(t)-\lambda \Delta$. Of course, human capital can be accumulated over time. In particular, there's an accumulation rule $u(h)$.

- Write a law of motion of $h(t)$.
- Consider an initial measure (distribution with mass different than 1) of human capital for $h$, which we denote by $f(h, 0)$. Derive a law of motion for $f(h, t)$.
- Solve $f(h, t)$ using the method of characteristics.
- Describe how a single characteristic would change if there's a positive externality. New to human capital, the externality is only local:
- In particular, let the accumulation rule now depend on the mass of people with identical human capital, $f(h, t)$. In other words, now capital accumulation is $u(h, f(h, t))$ where now $u_{f}>0$.

You may want to code this solution if you are interested in models of inequality. I suspect that $f(h, t)$ may feature a bimodal distribution with the distance in the modes separating over time.

## Excercise 2-Term-Structure

Consider an endowment economy with a deterministic path for the endowment $y(t)$. The path is continuous and almost-everywhere differentiable. Assume there is a representative agent. Define the utility of the agent via: $U(c(t))$ where $U$ is CRRA with coefficient $\sigma$.

- Let $y(t)$ follow:

$$
\dot{y}(t)=-\alpha(y(t)-\bar{y})
$$

- Assume that there's a single traded bond in zero net supply. Assume that the bond is the limit of a one period bond. Please, demonstrates that the instantaneous return of that bond is:

$$
r(t)=\rho+\sigma \frac{\dot{y}(t)}{y(t)}
$$

- Now, consider the term premium. Assume that there is a menu indexed by $\tau \in[0, \infty]$ of long-term bonds. Each bond pays one unit of consumption $\tau$ periods ahead. Consider a nonarbitrage condition in discrete time. Derive a PDE for $P(t, \tau)$ by taking the continuous time limit of that non-arbitrage condition. Note it should be similar to the one derived in class.
- Solve the PDE using the method of characteristics. Verify your solution by taking derivatives of $P$ with respect to $t$ and $\tau$.
- Construct a corresponding yield curve as the constant discount rate $r(t, \tau)$, such that discounting the unit payoff at that rate, we obtain the price $P(t, \tau)$.
- What is the shape of the yield curve as a function of $\dot{y}(0)$. Which rates are more sensitive, long-term rates or short-term rates?
- Now assume that with Poisson intensity $\theta$, the value of output can drop to $y_{d}$, where $y_{d}$ is a disaster value. The shock can happen only once -after the first shock, the intensity vanishes to zero. How does the PDE for $P(t, \tau)$ change?


## Excercise 3

## A Housing Model with Monetary Policy

This question is related to the paper by Guren and Krishnamurthy presented this week in the macro seminar. I want to take a different angle though. I'm interested in the distribution effects of monetary policy, as in particular, I believed that the low-rate policy of the FED after the housing crash favored people a generation older than me. This effect will last through my lifetime. To study the distributional effects of the FED consider an economy populated by two types of agents:

- An overlapping generation of agents. Agents live for T periods. There age is given by some $a \in[0, T]$. The wage profile is some $y(a)$ with $y^{\prime \prime}(a)$ and $y^{\prime}(a)<0$ if and only if $a>\bar{a}$. Agents die at age $T$. The mass of living agents is 1 .
- A set of international investors. International investors lend elastically at an international rate $r *$.
- The Housing Stock. There's unit measure of houses. Homes can be owned or rented. Thus, the indicator for an agent of age $a$ of home ownership is $h(a) \in\{0,1\}$.

A Benchmark Economy. Assume that agents do not save. Furthermore, they borrow, but only through their home-mortgages. The instantaneous utility of the agent is:

$$
U(c(t)+A h
$$

where $A$ is a non-pecuniary value to owning a house. Their time discount is:

- Consider the price of homes to be $p(t)$ —at a stationary equilibrium, it's a constant price.
- If homes are rented, it's because they are owned by the international investors, so the rental flow is $z(t)=r * \cdot p(t)$.
- If homes are owned, it's because the funds are owned by the international investors, and the mortgage payments are $m(t)=(1+\sigma r *) p(t)$. If an agent dies with a mortgage, he pays the principal selling the home. Here, $\sigma$ is an intermediation spread.

Every newborn is born a renter. However, renters have a Poisson intensity $\theta$ of having the option to switch from home renter to home ownership -and vice-versa. Let the value function be denoted by:

$$
V(a, h)
$$

If an agent switches from $h$ to $h^{\prime}$ it draws two shocks, $\epsilon^{h, h}$ and $\epsilon^{h, h^{\prime}}$. The first shock, $\epsilon^{h, h}$ is the psychological cost of staying at state $h$. The second $\epsilon^{h, h}$ is the psychological cost of moving. Each shock is measured in utiles. Furthermore, these shocks are drawn from a type-I extreme value distribution, both are i.i.d shocks. The parameter of that distribution is $\nu$. For the rest of the exercise, we study a stationary equilibrium.
[1.a] Show that the HJB equation can be written like this:

$$
(\theta+\rho) V(a, h)=U\left(y(a)-(h m+(1-h) z)+A h+\theta \nu \log \left(\sum_{k \in\left\{h, h^{\prime}\right\}} \exp (V(a, k))^{1 / \nu}\right) .\right.
$$

Given a price p, can you solve for $V(a, h)$ ?
[1.b] Let $f(a, h)$ be the mass of agents age $a$ and state $h$. Can you please derive a system of ODE's that solve $f(a, h)$. Express transitions from h to h ' as functions that depend on:

$$
\mu_{h h^{\prime}}=\frac{\exp \left(V\left(a, h^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / \nu}}{\sum_{k \in\left\{h, h^{\prime}\right\}} \exp (V(a, k))^{1 / \nu}} .
$$

Can you solve $\mu_{h h^{\prime}}$ ?
[1.c] Obtain a market clearing condition for home-purchases at steady-state. Note that at steady state, the mass of renters and home owners is constant. Can we express that condition as a single equation in $p$ ? Explain the economics of why a price is determined or not.

## An Economy with Savings.

[1.d] How would you adapt the problem to incorporate Andy Atkeson's criticism that the model didn't account for savings? What would be the appropriate market clearing condition for the interest rate $r^{*}$ ? Provide the sketch of an algorithm to solve the model. [Pretend you know the methods to solve PDE's and ODE's without saying what they are.

Planner Solution. [1.e] Setup the planner's problem in the closed economy version of the model. Explain how you would adapt Bigio, Nuno and Passadore to solve for the planner solution.

Pecuniary Externality. [1.f] Assume that in oder to buy a house, there's an loan-to-income constraint: you can't take a mortgage if the mortgage payment is greater than $\kappa$ times income. What inefficiencies would emerge as a consequence. Would you introduce taxes in that case?

Note (the solutions are commented out)

